

EN 50663:2017 EN 62479:2010 ASSESSMENT REPORT

For

DONGHUANG TOYS FACTORY

CHENGHAI DISTRICT, SHANTOU CITY, GUANGDONG PROVINCE, CHINA

Tested Model: DH8001D-1 Multiple Models: DH8002D, DH808, DH815, DH831

Report Type: Product Type:

Original Report 2.4G RC HELICOPTER

Report Number: RSZ180717810

Report Date: 2019-08-03

Simon Wang

Reviewed By: RF Engineer

Prepared By: Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen)

6/F., West Wing, Third Phase of Wanli Industrial Building, Shihua Road, Futian Free Trade Zone,

Simon wang

Shenzhen, Guangdong, China

Tel: +86-755-33320018 Fax: +86-755-33320008 www.baclcorp.com.cn

Note: This test report is prepared for the customer shown above and for the device described herein. It may not be duplicated or used in part without prior written consent from Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

GENERAL INFORMATION	3
PRODUCT DESCRIPTION FOR EQUIPMENT UNDER TEST (EUT)	3
OBJECTIVE	
RELATED SUBMITTAL(S)/GRANT(S)	
TEST METHODOLOGY	
RF Exposure Measurement	
EN 62479;2010 §4.1 & §4.2 - MAXIMUM EMITTED AVERAGE POWER	7
TEST PROCEDURE	7
TEST DATA	
EXHIBIT A - PRODUCT CE LABELING	8
PROPOSED CE LABEL FORMAT	8
PROPOSED LABEL LOCATION ON EUT	
EXHIBIT B - EUT PHOTOGRAPHS	9
EUT – ALL VIEW	9
FOR PLANE	
EUT – Front View	
EUT – REAR VIEW	
EUT - Top View	
EUT – BOTTOM VIEW	11
EUT – LEFT VIEW	11
EUT – RIGHT VIEW	12
EUT – Cover off View 1	
EUT – Cover off View 2	
EUT – MAIN BOARD TOP VIEW	
EUT -MAIN BOARD BOTTOM VIEW	
EUT – IC CHIP VIEW	
EUT – BATTERY TOP VIEW	
EUT – BATTERY BOTTOM VIEW	
EUT –USB CHARGER TOP VIEW	16
EUT –USB CHARGER BOTTOM VIEW	
EUT – USB CHARGER LABEL VIEW	
FOR REMOTE CONTROL	
EUT – Front View	
EUT – REAR VIEW	
EUT – TOP VIEW	
EUT – BOTTOM VIEW	
EUT – LEFT VIEW	
EUT – RIGHT VIEW	
EUT – COVER OFF VIEW 1	
EUT – COVER OFF VIEW 2	
EUT – MAIN BOARD TOP VIEW	
EUT –MAIN BOARD BOTTOM VIEW EUT – IC CHIP VIEW	
PRODUCT SIMILARITY DECLARATION LETTER	23

GENERAL INFORMATION

Product Description for Equipment under Test (EUT)

The DONGHUANG TOYS FACTORY's product, model number: DH8001D-1 or the "EUT" in this report was a 2.4G RC HELICOPTER, which was measured approximately: 24.0 cm (L) * 9.3 cm (W) * 11.6 cm (H) for plane, 14.6 cm (L) * 11.4 cm (W) * 7.0 cm (H) for remote control, rated with input voltage: DC 3.7 for plane and DC 1.5*3 V battery for remote control.

Notes: This series products model: JX01, WX800, WX500, 9527, 888, 866 and DH8001D-1 are electrically identical, the differences between them are their color and model number due to marketing purpose. Model DH8001D-1 was selected for fully testing, the detailed information can be referred to the declaration which was stated and guaranteed by the applicant.

*All measurement and test data in this report was gathered from production sample serial number: 180717810. (Assigned by BACL, Shenzhen). The EUT supplied by the applicant was received on 2019-07-17.

Objective

This report is prepared on behalf of DONGHUANG TOYS FACTORY in accordance with EN 62479: 2010 Assessment of the compliance of low power electronic and electrical equipment with the basic restrictions related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields (10 MHz to 300 GHz); EN 50663:2017 Generic standard for assessment of low power electronic and electrical equipment related to human exposure restrictions for electromagnetic fields (10 MHz - 300 GHz).

The objective is to determine the compliance of EUT with EN 62479: 2010, EN 50663:2017.

Related Submittal(s)/Grant(s)

No related submittal(s).

Test Methodology

All measurements contained in this report were conducted with EN 62479: 2010.

RF Exposure Measurement

1. Introduction

This generic standard applies to low power electronic and electrical apparatus for which no dedicated product – or product family standard regarding human exposure to electromagnetic fields applies.

The frequency range covered is 10 MHz to 300 GHz.

The object of this standard is to demonstrate the compliance of such apparatus with the basic restrictions on exposure of the general public to electric, magnetic and electromagnetic fields and contact current.

2. Compliance Criteria

2.1 General considerations

Compliance of electromagnetic emissions from electronic and electrical equipment with the basic restrictions usually is determined by measurements and, in some cases, calculation of the exposure level. If the electrical power used by or radiated by the equipment is sufficiently low, the electromagnetic fields emitted will be incapable of producing exposures that exceed the basic restrictions. This standard provides simple EMF assessment procedures for this low power equipment.

Any relevant compliance assessment procedure which is consistent with the state of the art, reproducible and gives valid results can be used.

For transmitters intended for use with more than one antenna configuration option, the combination of transmitter and antenna(s) which generates the highest available antenna power and/or average total radiated power shall be assessed.

Four routes, which as described as follows, can be used to demonstrate compliance with this standard:

A Typical usage, installation and the physical characteristics of equipment make it inherently compliant with the applicable EMF exposure levels such as those listed in the bibliography. This low-power equipment includes unintentional (or non-intentional) radiators, for example incandescent light bulbs and audio/visual (A/V) equipment, information technology equipment (ITE) and multimedia equipment (MME) that does not contain radio transmitters. NOTE Equipment is described as A/V equipment, ITE or MME if its main use is playback/recording of music, voice or images, or processing of digital information.

B The input power level to electrical or electronic components that are capable of radiating electromagnetic energy in the relevant frequency range is so low that the available antenna power and/or the average total radiated power cannot exceed the low-power exclusion level defined in 2.2.

C The available antenna power and/or the average total radiated power are limited by product standards for transmitters to levels below the low-power exclusion level defined in 2.2.

D Measurements or calculations show that the available antenna power and/or the average total radiated power are below the low-power exclusion level defined in 2.2.

If none of these routes can be used, then the equipment is deemed to be out of the scope of this standard and EMF assessment for conformity assessment purposes shall be made according to other standards, such as IEC 62311 or other EMF product standards.

2.2 Low-power exclusion level (Pmax)

Low-power electronic and electrical equipment is deemed to comply with the provisions of this standard if it can be demonstrated using routes B, C or D that the available antenna power and/or the average total radiated power is less than or equal to the applicable low-power exclusion level Pmax.

Annex A contains example values for Pmax derived from existing exposure limits listed in the bibliography, such as the ICNIRP guidelines [1], IEEE Std C95.1-1999 [2], and IEEE Std C95.1-2005 [3].

For wireless devices operated close to a person's body with available antenna powers and/or average total radiated powers higher than the Pmax values given in Annex A, the alternative Pmax values (called Pmax '), described in Annex B can also be used.

For low power equipment using pulsed signals, other limits may apply in addition to those considered in Annex A and Annex B. Both ICNIRP guidelines [1] and IEEE standards [2], [3] have specific restrictions on exposures to pulsed fields, and the requirements of those standards with respect to exposure to pulses shall be met. Annex C discusses this topic further.

2.3 Exposure to multiple transmitting sources

If equipment under test (EUT) is equipped with multiple intentional radiators, the overall conformity assessment might require more than just the assessment of conformity of each one of the radiators separately. The effect of multiple intentional radiators should be considered in the conformity assessment process.

Technical Report IEC 62630 [8] provides generic guidance on how to assess the EMFs generated by multiple intentional radiators.

3. Limit

3.1 Annex A

Table A.1 – Example values of SAR-based $P_{\rm max}$ for some cases described by ICNIRP, IEEE Std C95.1-1999 and IEEE Std C95.1-2005

Guideline / Standard	SAR limit, SAR _{max}	Averaging mass, m	P_{max}	Exposure tier ^a	Region of body ^a	
	W/kg	g	mW			
ICNIRP [1]	2	10	20	General public	Head and trunk	
	4	10	40	General public	Limbs	
	10	10	100	Occupational	Head and trunk	
	20	10	200	Occupational	Limbs	
IEEE Std C95.1-1999 [2]	1,6	1	1,6	Uncontrolled environment	Head, trunk, arms, legs	
	4	10	40	Uncontrolled environment	Hands, wrists, feet and ankles	
	8	1	8	Controlled environment	Head, trunk, arms, legs	
	20	10	200	Controlled environment	Hands, wrists, feet and ankles	
IEEE Std C95.1-2005 [3]	2	10	20	Action level	Body except extremities and pinnae	
	4	10	40	Action level	Extremities and pinnae	
	10	10	100	Controlled environment	Body except extremities and pinnae	
	20	10	200	Controlled environment	Extremities and pinnae	
Consult the appropriate standard for more information and definitions of terms.						

3.2 Annex B

$$P_{\text{max}}' = \exp\left[As + Bs^2 + C\ln(BW) + D\right]$$
 (B.1)

For compliance with the SAR limit of SAR_{max} = 2 W/kg averaged over m = 10 g in ICNIRP Guidelines [1] and IEEE Std C95.1-2005 [3], use Equations (B.2) to (B5) in Equation (B.1):

$$A = (-0.4588f^{3} + 4.407f^{2} - 6.112f + 2.497)/100$$
 (B.2)

$$B = (0.1160 f^3 - 1.402 f^2 + 3.504 f - 0.4367)/1000$$
 (B.3)

$$C = (-0.1333 f^3 + 11.89 f^2 - 110.8f + 301.4)/1000$$
 (B.4)

$$D = -0.03540 f^{3} + 0.5023 f^{2} - 2.297 f + 6.104$$
 (B.5)

EN 62479:2010 §4.1 & §4.2 - MAXIMUM EMITTED AVERAGE POWER

Test Procedure

Refer to EN 62479:2010 §4.1 & §4.2

Test Data

Environmental Conditions

Temperature:	25 ℃	
Relative Humidity:	52 %	
ATM Pressure:	101.0 kPa	

The testing was performed by Nancy Wang on 2019-07-21.

Test Mode: Transmitting

For the worst case:

Mode	Max power (dBm)	Max power (mW)	Limit (mW)	Result
SRD	1.23	1.33	20	Pass

Conclusion:

The RF Exposure is compliance.

EXHIBIT A - PRODUCT CE LABELING

Proposed CE Label Format



Specification: The marking set out above must be affixed to the apparatus or to its data plate and have a minimum height of 5 mm. The elements should be easily readable and indelible. They may be placed anywhere on the apparatus case or in its battery compartment. No tool should be needed to view the marking

Proposed Label Location on EUT






EXHIBIT B - EUT PHOTOGRAPHS

EUT – All View



For plane

EUT – Front View



EUT – Rear View



EUT – Top View



EUT – Bottom View



EUT – Left View



EUT – Right View





EUT – Cover off View 1




EUT – Cover off View 2









EUT – IC Chip View







EUT – Battery Bottom View





EUT –USB Charger Bottom View



EUT – USB Charger Label View



For remote control EUT – Front View



EUT – Rear View



EUT – Top View



EUT – Bottom View



EUT – Left View






EUT – Cover off View 1







EUT – Main Board Top View



EUT - Main Board Bottom View



EUT – IC Chip View



PRODUCT SIMILARITY DECLARATION LETTER

COOLER STUFF CO., LIMITED CHENGHAI DISTRICT, SHANTOU CITY, GUANGDONG PROVINCE, CHINA

07/15/2018

Product Similarity Declaration

To Whom It May Concern,

We, COOLER STUFF CO., LIMITED hereby declare that we have a product named as 2.4G RC HELICOPTER (Model number: CS037539) was tested by BACL, meanwhile, for our marketing purpose, we would like to list a series models (JX01,WX800,WX500,9527,888,866) on reports and certificate, all the models are electrically identical, only the color is different. No other changes are made to them.

We confirm that all information above is true, and we'll be responsible for all the consequences. Please contact me if you have any question.

Signature: Carl

Print Name: Carl

Title: Sales Manager

***** END OF REPORT *****